

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2002/2003**  
**Planning and Administration Costs**  
**Compared to Total Agency Expenses**

**Exhibit C-8**  
**Page 1 of 12**

05/01/2004

<b>Redevelopment Agency</b>	<b>Agency Total Expenses</b>	<b>Planning &amp; Administration Total Cost</b>	<b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration Compared to Total Expenses</b>
<b>HERCULES RDA</b>	\$964,201	\$964,201	100.00 %
<b>SAN BRUNO RDA</b>	\$377,354	\$377,354	100.00 %
<b>TULARE COUNTY RDA</b>	\$192,640	\$192,640	100.00 %
<b>HESPERIA CRA</b>	\$172,645	\$172,645	100.00 %
<b>SAN CLEMENTE RDA</b>	\$158,344	\$158,344	100.00 %
<b>LIVERMORE RDA</b>	\$154,800	\$154,800	100.00 %
<b>SAN BUENAVENTURA RDA</b>	\$152,795	\$152,795	100.00 %
<b>MARINA RDA</b>	\$131,090	\$131,090	100.00 %
<b>LINDSAY RDA</b>	\$112,803	\$112,803	100.00 %
<b>ARCADIA RDA</b>	\$104,593	\$104,593	100.00 %
<b>ORANGE COVE RDA</b>	\$96,954	\$96,954	100.00 %
<b>PISMO BEACH RDA</b>	\$63,869	\$63,869	100.00 %
<b>SOUTH EL MONTE RDA</b>	\$48,658	\$48,658	100.00 %
<b>SAN JOAQUIN RDA</b>	\$19,500	\$19,500	100.00 %
<b>CALIMESA RDA</b>	\$19,478	\$19,478	100.00 %
<b>ATASCADERO RDA</b>	\$17,914	\$17,914	100.00 %
<b>CUDAHY RDA</b>	\$15,293	\$15,293	100.00 %
<b>SANTA CLARITA RDA</b>	\$9,701	\$9,701	100.00 %
<b>VENTURA</b>	\$8,045	\$8,045	100.00 %
<b>MODESTO RDA</b>	\$6,933	\$6,933	100.00 %
<b>KINGSBURG RDA</b>	\$5,743	\$5,743	100.00 %
<b>LAFAYETTE RDA</b>	\$5,418	\$5,418	100.00 %
<b>SONORA RDA</b>	\$5,199	\$5,199	100.00 %
<b>TIBURON RDA</b>	\$5,121	\$5,121	100.00 %
<b>LINCOLN RDA</b>	\$649	\$649	100.00 %
<b>RANCHO PALOS VERDES RDA</b>	\$79	\$79	100.00 %
<b>RIO VISTA RDA</b>	\$76,682	\$74,586	97.27 %
<b>GALT RDA</b>	\$363,391	\$349,022	96.05 %

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2002/2003**  
**Planning and Administration Costs**  
**Compared to Total Agency Expenses**

**Exhibit C-8**  
**Page 2 of 12**

05/01/2004

<b>Redevelopment Agency</b>	<b>Agency Total Expenses</b>	<b>Planning &amp; Administration Total Cost</b>	<b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration Compared to Total Expenses</b>
COMPTON RDA	\$1,327,746	\$1,272,972	95.87 %
RIALTO RDA	\$1,004,669	\$950,919	94.65 %
GRAND TERRACE RDA	\$486,567	\$442,490	90.94 %
OAKLEY	\$305,566	\$266,689	87.28 %
SAN JACINTO RDA	\$259,355	\$225,423	86.92 %
MONTEREY PARK RDA	\$1,123,828	\$972,087	86.50 %
TUSTIN COMMUNITY RDA	\$226,747	\$195,153	86.07 %
MARYSVILLE CDA	\$118,251	\$98,672	83.44 %
IMPERIAL BEACH	\$12,387	\$10,112	81.63 %
HIGHLAND RDA	\$300,839	\$236,757	78.70 %
MONROVIA RDA	\$1,379,781	\$1,076,110	77.99 %
TORRANCE RDA	\$550,692	\$426,947	77.53 %
NORCO RDA	\$428,926	\$325,053	75.78 %
FULLERTON RDA	\$530,846	\$399,995	75.35 %
COACHELLA RDA	\$780,464	\$573,964	73.54 %
SAN DIEGO COUNTY RDA	\$11,748	\$8,147	69.35 %
HEMET RDA	\$488,911	\$329,430	67.38 %
WILLITS CDA	\$139,481	\$93,509	67.04 %
ALAMEDA COUNTY RDA	\$163,547	\$108,485	66.33 %
DUARTE RDA	\$282,252	\$187,096	66.29 %
SCOTTS VALLEY RDA	\$201,588	\$133,040	66.00 %
FORT BRAGG RDA	\$125,875	\$80,337	63.82 %
MORENO VALLEY RDA	\$2,045,836	\$1,303,164	63.70 %
MILPITAS RDA	\$1,161,176	\$719,340	61.95 %
BRAWLEY RDA	\$392,772	\$240,852	61.32 %
CARLSBAD RDA	\$124,144	\$75,955	61.18 %
CYPRESS RDA	\$224,882	\$137,219	61.02 %
NOVATO RDA	\$376,203	\$226,024	60.08 %

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2002/2003**  
**Planning and Administration Costs**  
**Compared to Total Agency Expenses**

**Exhibit C-8**  
**Page 3 of 12**

05/01/2004

<b>Redevelopment Agency</b>	<b>Agency Total Expenses</b>	<b>Planning &amp; Administration Total Cost</b>	<b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration Compared to Total Expenses</b>
<b>YUCCA VALLEY</b>	\$115,462	\$68,799	59.59 %
<b>HANFORD RDA</b>	\$148,249	\$86,955	58.65 %
<b>SAN FERNANDO RDA</b>	\$650,248	\$381,224	58.63 %
<b>GRASS VALLEY RDA</b>	\$176,896	\$98,774	55.84 %
<b>LA HABRA RDA</b>	\$249,125	\$138,125	55.44 %
<b>REDWOOD CITY RDA</b>	\$1,525,102	\$837,395	54.91 %
<b>AUBURN RDA</b>	\$14,741	\$7,996	54.24 %
<b>TEHACHAPI RDA</b>	\$49,911	\$27,064	54.22 %
<b>LAWNDALE RDA</b>	\$88,914	\$47,488	53.41 %
<b>GARDEN GROVE CDA</b>	\$1,547,234	\$818,061	52.87 %
<b>FOSTER CITY CDA</b>	\$1,790,113	\$936,986	52.34 %
<b>ESCONDIDO CDC</b>	\$2,812,157	\$1,462,572	52.01 %
<b>PLACER COUNTY RDA</b>	\$499,259	\$259,323	51.94 %
<b>CHULA VISTA RDA</b>	\$1,355,081	\$697,934	51.50 %
<b>STANTON RDA</b>	\$687,862	\$354,195	51.49 %
<b>BANNING CRA</b>	\$141,682	\$72,955	51.49 %
<b>LA VERNE RDA</b>	\$953,840	\$488,160	51.18 %
<b>SIMI VALLEY CDA</b>	\$1,947,047	\$981,878	50.43 %
<b>SAN RAFAEL RDA</b>	\$493,640	\$242,664	49.16 %
<b>CAMPBELL RDA</b>	\$217,228	\$106,411	48.99 %
<b>REDLANDS RDA</b>	\$407,561	\$194,825	47.80 %
<b>OJAI RDA</b>	\$108,940	\$51,784	47.53 %
<b>ALHAMBRA RDA</b>	\$876,058	\$405,619	46.30 %
<b>DELANO RDA</b>	\$211,576	\$96,700	45.70 %
<b>SANTEE RDA</b>	\$970,891	\$443,042	45.63 %
<b>VISALIA CRA</b>	\$624,800	\$278,084	44.51 %
<b>LONG BEACH RDA</b>	\$4,080,748	\$1,790,509	43.88 %
<b>YUCAIPA RDA</b>	\$24,534	\$10,750	43.82 %

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2002/2003**  
**Planning and Administration Costs**  
**Compared to Total Agency Expenses**

**Exhibit C-8**  
**Page 4 of 12**

05/01/2004

<b>Redevelopment Agency</b>	<b>Agency Total Expenses</b>	<b>Planning &amp; Administration Total Cost</b>	<b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration Compared to Total Expenses</b>
<b>RICHMOND RDA</b>	\$2,272,890	\$988,238	43.48 %
<b>SEAL BEACH RDA</b>	\$634,713	\$265,959	41.90 %
<b>LOS BANOS</b>	\$174,380	\$72,046	41.32 %
<b>MILLBRAE RDA</b>	\$171,483	\$70,371	41.04 %
<b>SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY RDA</b>	\$614,950	\$252,156	41.00 %
<b>CONCORD RDA</b>	\$3,113,913	\$1,271,564	40.83 %
<b>SANGER RDA</b>	\$192,955	\$75,817	39.29 %
<b>MAYWOOD RDA</b>	\$42,884	\$16,836	39.26 %
<b>SANTA PAULA RDA</b>	\$317,869	\$124,558	39.19 %
<b>COSTA MESA RDA</b>	\$159,778	\$59,770	37.41 %
<b>LANCASTER RDA</b>	\$2,386,642	\$849,792	35.61 %
<b>SHASTA LAKE</b>	\$732,489	\$257,040	35.09 %
<b>CALEXICO RDA</b>	\$803,365	\$281,465	35.04 %
<b>CULVER CITY RDA</b>	\$3,021,078	\$1,053,951	34.89 %
<b>CLOVERDALE RDA</b>	\$266,840	\$92,840	34.79 %
<b>RIPON RDA</b>	\$474,821	\$164,738	34.69 %
<b>TEMECULA RDA</b>	\$1,443,006	\$496,679	34.42 %
<b>EXETER RDA</b>	\$97,498	\$33,185	34.04 %
<b>TURLOCK RDA</b>	\$112,288	\$37,288	33.21 %
<b>LEMOORE RDA</b>	\$688,629	\$223,231	32.42 %
<b>TRACY RDA</b>	\$393,865	\$126,048	32.00 %
<b>WEST SACRAMENTO RDA</b>	\$2,296,406	\$729,864	31.78 %
<b>LOS GATOS RDA</b>	\$383,104	\$118,479	30.93 %
<b>ONTARIO RDA</b>	\$9,314,878	\$2,844,980	30.54 %
<b>CLAYTON RDA</b>	\$57,250	\$17,250	30.13 %
<b>CATHEDRAL CITY RDA</b>	\$4,456,872	\$1,336,686	29.99 %
<b>VISTA RDA</b>	\$1,633,477	\$489,259	29.95 %
<b>OAKDALE RDA</b>	\$270,961	\$80,704	29.78 %

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2002/2003  
Planning and Administration Costs  
Compared to Total Agency Expenses**

**Exhibit C-8**

**Page 5 of 12**

**05/01/2004**

<b>Redevelopment Agency</b>	<b>Agency Total Expenses</b>	<b>Planning &amp; Administration Total Cost</b>	<b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration Compared to Total Expenses</b>
<b>SAN PABLO RDA</b>	\$974,846	\$283,809	29.11 %
<b>EL CAJON RDA</b>	\$1,348,959	\$385,593	28.58 %
<b>SEASIDE RDA</b>	\$478,661	\$132,058	27.59 %
<b>LA MESA COMMUNITY RDA</b>	\$170,867	\$46,778	27.38 %
<b>CAPITOLA RDA</b>	\$136,152	\$37,242	27.35 %
<b>OROVILLE RDA</b>	\$1,195,601	\$326,907	27.34 %
<b>LAKEWOOD RDA</b>	\$848,195	\$230,740	27.20 %
<b>LOMPOC RDA</b>	\$225,872	\$61,413	27.19 %
<b>SONOMA COUNTY CDC</b>	\$310,181	\$84,173	27.14 %
<b>LEMON GROVE RDA</b>	\$218,660	\$58,446	26.73 %
<b>WHITTIER RDA</b>	\$491,489	\$130,784	26.61 %
<b>SIERRA MADRE RDA</b>	\$356,025	\$94,402	26.52 %
<b>REDONDO BEACH RDA</b>	\$702,204	\$184,491	26.27 %
<b>ORANGE CITY RDA</b>	\$2,565,368	\$665,896	25.96 %
<b>CERRITOS RDA</b>	\$2,918,020	\$753,015	25.81 %
<b>VICTORVILLE RDA</b>	\$1,188,706	\$306,430	25.78 %
<b>PORT HUENEME RDA</b>	\$1,083,130	\$275,185	25.41 %
<b>FAIRFIELD RDA</b>	\$4,027,002	\$1,021,440	25.36 %
<b>CHINO RDA</b>	\$1,436,403	\$364,157	25.35 %
<b>PASADENA CDC</b>	\$3,823,173	\$953,865	24.95 %
<b>CHICO RDA</b>	\$1,166,701	\$289,846	24.84 %
<b>LA PALMA CDC</b>	\$446,531	\$110,067	24.65 %
<b>REEDLEY RDA</b>	\$433,467	\$106,533	24.58 %
<b>PALMDALE CRA</b>	\$7,506,304	\$1,820,496	24.25 %
<b>SANTA ANA CRA</b>	\$7,892,951	\$1,896,265	24.02 %
<b>HOLTVILLE RDA</b>	\$25,916	\$6,076	23.44 %
<b>CALIPATRIA RDA</b>	\$33,849	\$7,900	23.34 %
<b>LOS ANGELES COUNTY CDC</b>	\$1,284,036	\$295,511	23.01 %

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2002/2003  
Planning and Administration Costs  
Compared to Total Agency Expenses**

**Exhibit C-8**

**Page 6 of 12**

**05/01/2004**

<b>Redevelopment Agency</b>	<b>Agency Total Expenses</b>	<b>Planning &amp; Administration Total Cost</b>	<b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration Compared to Total Expenses</b>
<b>THOUSAND OAKS RDA</b>	\$3,257,116	\$746,229	22.91 %
<b>BREA RDA</b>	\$4,764,847	\$1,081,657	22.70 %
<b>AZUSA RDA</b>	\$684,061	\$154,603	22.60 %
<b>SAN BERNARDINO RDA</b>	\$5,143,839	\$1,150,181	22.36 %
<b>SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO CRA</b>	\$439,805	\$98,078	22.30 %
<b>ROHNERT PARK RDA</b>	\$3,108,955	\$692,150	22.26 %
<b>WASCO RDA</b>	\$43,297	\$9,635	22.25 %
<b>LOMA LINDA RDA</b>	\$1,955,385	\$430,523	22.02 %
<b>PASO ROBLES RDA</b>	\$414,314	\$91,107	21.99 %
<b>MOORPARK RDA</b>	\$1,232,974	\$268,236	21.76 %
<b>BELMONT RDA</b>	\$1,135,551	\$246,678	21.72 %
<b>ALAMEDA CITY CIC</b>	\$2,048,610	\$440,995	21.53 %
<b>EL CENTRO RDA</b>	\$664,076	\$142,840	21.51 %
<b>PLEASANT HILL RDA</b>	\$871,512	\$185,108	21.24 %
<b>BELL RDA</b>	\$373,502	\$76,139	20.39 %
<b>SAN RAMON RDA</b>	\$785,845	\$159,240	20.26 %
<b>SOUTH PASADENA CRDA</b>	\$299,410	\$60,462	20.19 %
<b>COTATI RDA</b>	\$846,400	\$169,141	19.98 %
<b>SANTA CRUZ COUNTY RDA</b>	\$7,103,289	\$1,396,340	19.66 %
<b>GONZALES RDA</b>	\$18,856	\$3,697	19.61 %
<b>PETALUMA CDC</b>	\$1,658,956	\$321,357	19.37 %
<b>COVINA RDA</b>	\$2,061,009	\$397,498	19.29 %
<b>CLOVIS CDA</b>	\$2,026,676	\$387,263	19.11 %
<b>RIVERSIDE COUNTY RDA</b>	\$7,892,689	\$1,504,590	19.06 %
<b>EUREKA RDA</b>	\$1,000,583	\$185,984	18.59 %
<b>SACRAMENTO CITY AND COUNTY RDA</b>	\$12,876,455	\$2,378,944	18.48 %
<b>MORGAN HILL RDA</b>	\$4,080,399	\$748,380	18.34 %
<b>SAN CARLOS RDA</b>	\$1,720,657	\$315,254	18.32 %

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2002/2003  
Planning and Administration Costs  
Compared to Total Agency Expenses**

**Exhibit C-8  
Page 7 of 12**

05/01/2004

<b>Redevelopment Agency</b>	<b>Agency Total Expenses</b>	<b>Planning &amp; Administration Total Cost</b>	<b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration Compared to Total Expenses</b>
ANDERSON	\$230,546	\$42,128	18.27 %
PERRIS RDA	\$896,746	\$163,352	18.22 %
GLENDALE RDA	\$4,480,490	\$815,042	18.19 %
TULARE RDA	\$570,366	\$103,120	18.08 %
EMERYVILLE RDA	\$3,975,432	\$718,639	18.08 %
YUBA CITY RDA	\$165,953	\$29,988	18.07 %
CALIFORNIA CITY RDA	\$312,787	\$52,893	16.91 %
PORTERVILLE RDA	\$365,877	\$61,525	16.82 %
BURBANK RDA	\$11,980,082	\$1,978,276	16.51 %
ROCKLIN RDA	\$454,699	\$74,699	16.43 %
MONTEREY COUNTY CDA	\$493,521	\$81,066	16.43 %
SEBASTOPOL RDA	\$103,420	\$16,896	16.34 %
MONTCLAIR RDA	\$2,286,776	\$373,316	16.32 %
BRENTWOOD RDA	\$760,218	\$122,821	16.16 %
WOODLAND RDA	\$443,610	\$71,667	16.16 %
WINTERS RDA	\$297,464	\$47,464	15.96 %
INDIO RDA	\$390,733	\$61,490	15.74 %
PALM SPRINGS RDA	\$1,220,315	\$185,309	15.19 %
TAFT COMMUNITY DA	\$85,295	\$12,865	15.08 %
IRWINDALE CRDA	\$2,468,956	\$371,794	15.06 %
LA MIRADA RDA	\$1,521,525	\$228,708	15.03 %
COLTON RDA	\$4,240,359	\$615,841	14.52 %
MENLO PARK CDA	\$4,133,426	\$593,065	14.35 %
FOLSOM RDA	\$1,464,062	\$209,297	14.30 %
CLEARLAKE RDA	\$323,210	\$45,214	13.99 %
ORANGE COUNTY RDA	\$2,008,668	\$278,586	13.87 %
MADERA RDA	\$1,510,248	\$208,313	13.79 %
DESERT HOT SPRINGS RDA	\$229,454	\$31,024	13.52 %

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2002/2003**  
**Planning and Administration Costs**  
**Compared to Total Agency Expenses**

**Exhibit C-8**  
**Page 8 of 12**

05/01/2004

<b>Redevelopment Agency</b>	<b>Agency Total Expenses</b>	<b>Planning &amp; Administration Total Cost</b>	<b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration Compared to Total Expenses</b>
<b>FORTUNA RDA</b>	\$36,623	\$4,846	13.23 %
<b>LOS ANGELES CITY CRA</b>	\$26,005,000	\$3,427,000	13.18 %
<b>ROSEVILLE RDA</b>	\$309,581	\$40,737	13.16 %
<b>VALLEJO RDA</b>	\$1,921,468	\$250,967	13.06 %
<b>GLENDORA RDA</b>	\$484,381	\$63,197	13.05 %
<b>VACAVILLE RDA</b>	\$3,606,494	\$462,820	12.83 %
<b>LYNWOOD RDA</b>	\$971,610	\$124,498	12.81 %
<b>MENDOTA RDA</b>	\$212,212	\$26,648	12.56 %
<b>SAN MATEO CITY RDA</b>	\$5,528,662	\$680,857	12.32 %
<b>UPLAND CRA</b>	\$2,047,036	\$251,733	12.30 %
<b>NORWALK RDA</b>	\$1,113,344	\$136,799	12.29 %
<b>REDDING RDA</b>	\$5,078,879	\$609,653	12.00 %
<b>MONTEBELLO CRDA</b>	\$2,540,495	\$287,880	11.33 %
<b>POWAY RDA</b>	\$6,092,995	\$690,231	11.33 %
<b>WALNUT CREEK RDA</b>	\$432,427	\$48,754	11.27 %
<b>RIDGECREST RDA</b>	\$1,026,861	\$115,735	11.27 %
<b>OXNARD RDA</b>	\$2,878,364	\$318,387	11.06 %
<b>SAN DIMAS RDA</b>	\$1,180,511	\$129,333	10.96 %
<b>OAKLAND RDA</b>	\$20,385,513	\$2,228,790	10.93 %
<b>SAN DIEGO CITY RDA</b>	\$14,859,788	\$1,556,920	10.48 %
<b>PLACENTIA RDA</b>	\$758,709	\$78,684	10.37 %
<b>INDIAN WELLS RDA</b>	\$14,941,725	\$1,512,207	10.12 %
<b>BIG BEAR LAKE RDA</b>	\$315,385	\$31,298	9.92 %
<b>WESTMINSTER RDA</b>	\$3,341,794	\$331,563	9.92 %
<b>MERCED CITY RDA</b>	\$1,571,211	\$154,026	9.80 %
<b>SUISUN CITY RDA</b>	\$2,192,693	\$214,175	9.77 %
<b>SAN JOSE RDA</b>	\$110,830,479	\$10,136,546	9.15 %
<b>S.F. CITY &amp; COUNTY RDA</b>	\$51,677,045	\$4,697,438	9.09 %



**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2002/2003  
Planning and Administration Costs  
Compared to Total Agency Expenses**

**Exhibit C-8  
Page 9 of 12**

05/01/2004

<b>Redevelopment Agency</b>	<b>Agency Total Expenses</b>	<b>Planning &amp; Administration Total Cost</b>	<b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration Compared to Total Expenses</b>
<b>MISSION VIEJO CDA</b>	\$431,441	\$39,073	9.06 %
<b>PARAMOUNT RDA</b>	\$2,337,060	\$208,849	8.94 %
<b>SAN LEANDRO RDA</b>	\$2,779,106	\$248,286	8.93 %
<b>FONTANA RDA</b>	\$5,688,727	\$501,700	8.82 %
<b>WINDSOR/SONOMA</b>	\$548,981	\$48,268	8.79 %
<b>HAYWARD RDA</b>	\$2,090,886	\$179,056	8.56 %
<b>RIVERSIDE RDA</b>	\$5,089,833	\$426,053	8.37 %
<b>CORONA RDA</b>	\$6,017,262	\$494,978	8.23 %
<b>BARSTOW RDA</b>	\$939,861	\$77,235	8.22 %
<b>CLAREMONT RDA</b>	\$524,947	\$41,557	7.92 %
<b>DOWNEY CDC</b>	\$794,975	\$60,852	7.65 %
<b>GUADALUPE RDA</b>	\$72,791	\$5,181	7.12 %
<b>SANTA BARBARA RDA</b>	\$5,143,898	\$364,947	7.09 %
<b>HUNTINGTON BEACH RDA</b>	\$1,102,625	\$73,139	6.63 %
<b>CERES RDA</b>	\$458,062	\$29,780	6.50 %
<b>LA QUINTA RDA</b>	\$11,100,374	\$720,586	6.49 %
<b>SALINAS RDA</b>	\$2,960,905	\$188,835	6.38 %
<b>UKIAH RDA</b>	\$960,252	\$60,065	6.26 %
<b>MONTEREY RDA</b>	\$3,265,207	\$199,265	6.10 %
<b>WATSONVILLE RDA</b>	\$1,819,613	\$108,637	5.97 %
<b>FILLMORE RDA</b>	\$686,452	\$40,456	5.89 %
<b>EL MONTE COMMUNITY RDA</b>	\$1,288,818	\$75,783	5.88 %
<b>SAN MARCOS RDA</b>	\$4,396,846	\$243,546	5.54 %
<b>UNION CITY RDA</b>	\$6,548,378	\$343,879	5.25 %
<b>FREMONT RDA</b>	\$6,974,662	\$362,691	5.20 %
<b>AVALON CIA</b>	\$1,105,442	\$57,407	5.19 %
<b>YORBA LINDA RDA</b>	\$8,203,757	\$403,953	4.92 %
<b>PALM DESERT RDA</b>	\$24,722,427	\$1,165,421	4.71 %

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2002/2003**  
**Planning and Administration Costs**  
**Compared to Total Agency Expenses**

**Exhibit C-8**  
**Page 10 of 12**

05/01/2004

<b>Redevelopment Agency</b>	<b>Agency Total Expenses</b>	<b>Planning &amp; Administration Total Cost</b>	<b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration Compared to Total Expenses</b>
<b>SIGNAL HILL RDA</b>	\$4,559,673	\$214,774	4.71 %
<b>SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO RDA</b>	\$3,760,332	\$170,775	4.54 %
<b>COMMERCE RDA</b>	\$1,547,891	\$68,484	4.42 %
<b>ANAHEIM RDA</b>	\$17,236,000	\$740,000	4.29 %
<b>INGLEWOOD RDA</b>	\$2,052,006	\$85,779	4.18 %
<b>BRISBANE RDA</b>	\$1,183,783	\$49,225	4.16 %
<b>PICO RIVERA RDA</b>	\$2,314,976	\$92,272	3.99 %
<b>SANTA CLARA CITY RDA</b>	\$6,916,841	\$268,340	3.88 %
<b>CORONADO CRA</b>	\$4,114,176	\$154,572	3.76 %
<b>BELLFLOWER CRA</b>	\$159,180	\$5,938	3.73 %
<b>PINOLE RDA</b>	\$4,630,561	\$170,419	3.68 %
<b>HAWTHORNE RDA</b>	\$769,174	\$27,310	3.55 %
<b>SOUTH GATE RDA</b>	\$21,532,552	\$736,120	3.42 %
<b>EAST PALO ALTO RDA</b>	\$1,036,228	\$35,000	3.38 %
<b>SONOMA CDA</b>	\$2,307,166	\$73,981	3.21 %
<b>FRESNO CITY RDA</b>	\$3,043,982	\$90,459	2.97 %
<b>EL CERRITO RDA</b>	\$344,228	\$9,788	2.84 %
<b>SELMA RDA</b>	\$197,161	\$5,492	2.79 %
<b>HEALDSBURG RDA</b>	\$1,779,071	\$49,135	2.76 %
<b>TEMPLE CITY CRDA</b>	\$75,400	\$1,992	2.64 %
<b>SANTA CRUZ CITY RDA</b>	\$4,790,880	\$123,564	2.58 %
<b>PARLIER RDA</b>	\$72,753	\$1,795	2.47 %
<b>BUENA PARK RDA</b>	\$1,569,503	\$37,870	2.41 %
<b>ANTIOCH RDA</b>	\$667,731	\$15,878	2.38 %
<b>NATIONAL CITY CDC</b>	\$907,047	\$20,161	2.22 %
<b>RANCHO CUCAMONGA RDA</b>	\$14,652,595	\$316,108	2.16 %
<b>PITTSBURG RDA</b>	\$1,460,166	\$30,166	2.07 %
<b>POMONA RDA</b>	\$6,423,463	\$122,739	1.91 %

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2002/2003**  
**Planning and Administration Costs**  
**Compared to Total Agency Expenses**

**Exhibit C-8**  
**Page 11 of 12**

05/01/2004

<b>Redevelopment Agency</b>	<b>Agency Total Expenses</b>	<b>Planning &amp; Administration Total Cost</b>	<b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration Compared to Total Expenses</b>
<b>RANCHO MIRAGE RDA</b>	\$4,275,551	\$80,947	1.89 %
<b>BALDWIN PARK RDA</b>	\$5,417,562	\$99,412	1.84 %
<b>SANTA FE SPRINGS RDA</b>	\$6,133,834	\$112,214	1.83 %
<b>NEWMAN RDA</b>	\$67,784	\$1,117	1.65 %
<b>FOUNTAIN VALLEY CDA</b>	\$4,707,973	\$76,616	1.63 %
<b>HAWAIIAN GARDENS RDA</b>	\$929,708	\$14,332	1.54 %
<b>WEST HOLLYWOOD RDA</b>	\$501,357	\$7,662	1.53 %
<b>OCEANSIDE RDA</b>	\$6,246,848	\$88,856	1.42 %
<b>STOCKTON RDA</b>	\$10,910,000	\$150,000	1.37 %
<b>DAVIS RDA</b>	\$3,277,494	\$35,163	1.07 %
<b>STANISLAUS COUNTY RDA</b>	\$274,883	\$2,655	0.97 %
<b>BAKERSFIELD RDA</b>	\$2,379,543	\$22,310	0.94 %
<b>CONTRA COSTA COUNTY RDA</b>	\$3,762,573	\$21,317	0.57 %
<b>DANVILLE RDA</b>	\$1,026,386	\$5,552	0.54 %
<b>MURRIETA RDA</b>	\$1,539,828	\$6,496	0.42 %
<b>MANTECA RDA</b>	\$3,161,855	\$12,470	0.39 %
<b>LAKE ELSINORE RDA</b>	\$1,183,298	\$774	0.07 %
<b>INLAND VALLEY DA</b>	\$767,431	\$285	0.04 %
<b>SANTA MONICA RDA</b>	\$9,739,342	\$1,000	0.01 %
<b>WALNUT IMPROVEMENT AGENCY</b>	\$4,688,285	\$0	0.00 %
<b>CAMARILLO RDA</b>	\$1,537,524	\$0	0.00 %
<b>ROSEMEAD RDA</b>	\$1,393,867	\$0	0.00 %
<b>DALY CITY RDA</b>	\$1,074,342	\$0	0.00 %
<b>ARCATA CDA</b>	\$763,657	\$0	0.00 %
<b>BELL GARDENS RDA</b>	\$756,096	\$0	0.00 %
<b>DINUBA RDA</b>	\$690,763	\$0	0.00 %
<b>NAPA COMMUNITY RDA</b>	\$522,930	\$0	0.00 %
<b>VICTOR VALLEY EDA</b>	\$519,566	\$0	0.00 %

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2002/2003**  
**Planning and Administration Costs**  
**Compared to Total Agency Expenses**

**Exhibit C-8**  
**Page 12 of 12**

05/01/2004

<b>Redevelopment Agency</b>	<b>Agency Total Expenses</b>	<b>Planning &amp; Administration Total Cost</b>	<b>Percent of Planning &amp; Administration Compared to Total Expenses</b>
<b>SOUTH LAKE TAHOE RDA</b>	\$400,806	\$0	0.00 %
<b>SANTA ROSA</b>	\$324,411	\$0	0.00 %
<b>SANTA BARBARA COUNTY RDA</b>	\$281,505	\$0	0.00 %
<b>BERKELEY RDA</b>	\$263,640	\$0	0.00 %
<b>MARIN COUNTY RDA</b>	\$256,364	\$0	0.00 %
<b>SAND CITY RDA</b>	\$196,236	\$0	0.00 %
<b>LAKE FOREST</b>	\$163,000	\$0	0.00 %
<b>FIREBAUGH RDA</b>	\$159,008	\$0	0.00 %
<b>BLYTHE RDA</b>	\$131,169	\$0	0.00 %
<b>AVENAL RDA</b>	\$86,801	\$0	0.00 %
<b>CORCORAN RDA</b>	\$81,536	\$0	0.00 %
<b>MARCH AIRFORCE BASE</b>	\$69,991	\$0	0.00 %
<b>HURON RDA</b>	\$66,696	\$0	0.00 %
<b>FARMERSVILLE RDA</b>	\$60,440	\$0	0.00 %
<b>NEEDLES RDA</b>	\$58,311	\$0	0.00 %
<b>STANISLAUS-CERES RDC</b>	\$21,821	\$0	0.00 %
<b>WOODLAKE RDA</b>	\$21,533	\$0	0.00 %
<b>PACIFICA RDA</b>	\$18,488	\$0	0.00 %
<b>ARVIN RDA</b>	\$11,766	\$0	0.00 %
<b>CRESCENT CITY RDA</b>	\$5,910	\$0	0.00 %
<b>BEAUMONT</b>	\$2,137	\$0	0.00 %
<b>TWENTYNINE PALMS RDA</b>	\$1,880	\$0	0.00 %
<b>Statewide Totals:</b>	<b>\$817,808,850</b>	<b>\$110,411,173</b>	<b>13.50 %</b>
<b>Total Agencies Statewide Contributing to this report: 330</b>			